



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/074,838	. (02/13/2002	Graham Roderick Lodge	P01,0587	6336
26574	7590	10/20/2005	EXAMINER		
SCHIFF HA				BASS, J	ION M
PATENT DE 6600 SEARS		: -	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
CHICAGO,			3639		

DATE MAILED: 10/20/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of turn may be available under the proximan of 37 CPR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after 50% (6) KKOPTHS from the maining date of this communication of 37 CPR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after 50% (6) KKOPTHS from the maining date of this communication. Failur to reply vice-invection by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication. Page 10% (6) KKOPTHS from the maining date of this communication. Page 10% (6) KKOPTHS from the maining date of this communication. Failur to reply vice-invection the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned partner through the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned partner to a closed in accordance with the practice under Ex partner 2002. Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 February 2002. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits it closed in accordance with the practice under Ex partner Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 7) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 8) Claim(s) 1-14 is/are peicted. 7) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 8) Claim(s) 5-14 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s)			Application No.		Applicant(s)	
Jon Bass 3639 — The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address — Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Elemenion for bin may be available under the provision of 37 CPR 11/38(a). In no event, hower, may a reply be timely filled in the SIX (8) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. In our well, however, may a reply be timely filled in the SIX (8) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. In a subject of the control of the co			10/074,838		GRAHAM RODERICK	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address — Period for Repty A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under be provision of 37 CFR 1.13(s). In or event, however, may a reply be timely filled after SIX (s) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period to reply is specified advent, the manimum statistory period will apply and will expire SIX (s) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if smelly filled, may reduce any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if smelly filled, may reduce any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if smelly filled, may reduce any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if smelly filled, may reduce any reply received by the Office later than 1704(b). Status 1) Septimizer to communication(s) filled on 13 February 2002. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits it closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-14 is/are repected. Claim(s) 1-14 is/are rejected. Claim(s) 1-14 is/are rejected. Claim(s) 1-14 is/are rejected. Claim(s) 1-14 is/are rejected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on		Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE ② MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Estatusions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.138(a). In or event, however, may a reply be limely field and the little of the Child of the			Jon Bass		3639	
WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of them may be available under the provisions of 37 CPR 1.13(a). In no event, may a reply be timely flied after 5tX (6) MONTHS from the making date of this communication. If NO period to reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the misting date of this communication. Any reply received by the Office later than these monits after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any sentent patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) □ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 February 2002. 2a) □ This action is FINAL. 2b) □ This action is in SINAL. 3) □ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits it closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) □ Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) □ is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5i) □ Claim(s) 1-14 is/are rejected. 7i) □ Claim(s) 1-14 is/are rejected. 7i) □ Claim(s) 1-14 is/are objected to. 8i) □ Claim(s) 1-14 is/are objected to by the Examiner. 10i □ The drawing(s) filed on □ is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Application Papers 9 □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10i □ The drawing(s) filed on □ is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to See 37 CFR 1.121(1) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12 □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) □ All b) □ Some * o) □ None of: 1. □ Certified copies of t			appears on the co	ver sheet with the d	correspondence a	ddress
Status 1 Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 February 2002. 2a This action is FINAL. 2b This action is non-final. 3 Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits in closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by streply received by the Office later than three months after the maximum statutory period for reply will.	ODATE OF THIS R 1.136(a). In no event, h riod will apply and will explate, cause the application	COMMUNICATION owever, may a reply be tire ire SIX (6) MONTHS from on to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
This action is FINAL. 2b) ☑ This action is non-final. 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits it closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) ☑ Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☑ Claim(s) 1-14 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to py the Examiner. 10) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	itatus					
This action is FINAL. 2b) ☑ This action is non-final. 3 ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4 ☐ Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5 ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6 ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8 ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8 ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to put the Examiner. 10 ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10 ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12 ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of: 1.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 2.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	1)🖾	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 1	3 February 2002.			
3 Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits in closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(a) 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	• —	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		final.		
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	,	<i>'</i>			osecution as to th	e merits is
4) Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	-,	· ·	•	• •		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☒ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	Disposit	ion of Claims			•	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☒ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	· ·		tion.			
5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to estriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(1) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			eration		
6) Claim(s) 1-14 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	·			•		
Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(1) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.		•				,
Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(1) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	, —		d/or clostics rosu	iramant	•	
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(1) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Attachment(s) 1) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date:	ال(٥	claim(s) are subject to restriction ar	id/or election requ	irement.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(1) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	Applicat	ion Papers				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(1) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) Attachment(s) Attachment(s) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. Paper No(s)/Mail Date. Paper No(s)/Mail Date. Paper No(s)/Mail Date.	9)[The specification is objected to by the Exan	niner.			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. **Attachment(s) Motice of References Cited (PTO-892)	10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)	accepted or b)	objected to by the	Examiner.	
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. *Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Applicant may not request that any objection to	the drawing(s) be h	eld in abeyance. Se	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. *Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co	rrection is required if	the drawing(s) is ob	jected to. See 37 C	FR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) Attachment(s) A U ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	11)		•		•	-
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. **Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) **Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	• ====	•				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. *Attachment(s) 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date		<u>-</u>				
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. *Attachment(s) 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) * Paper No(s)/Mail Date	•		eign priority under	35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(a) or (t).	
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. *Attachment(s) 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	a)	·_ ·_				
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) O						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) O		2. Certified copies of the priority docum	ents have been re	ceived in Applicat	ion No	
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) Output		3. Copies of the certified copies of the part of the p	priority documents	have been receive	ed in this Nationa	l Stage
Attachment(s)) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Attachment(s) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date		application from the International Bu	reau (PCT Rule 1	⁷ .2(a)).		
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) A) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	* 5	See the attached detailed Office action for a	list of the certified	copies not receive	ed.	
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) A) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) A) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
2) Description Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date.	Attachmen	nt(s)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	1) 🛭 Notic	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	4)	Interview Summary	(PTO-413)	
3) [X] Information Disclosure Statement(s) /PTO_1449 or PTO/SR/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	2) 🔲 Notic	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948))	Paper No(s)/Mail D	ate	
7, Marian Distriction (2) (1) 10 11 10 11 10 11 10 11 10 11 11 10 11 10 11 10 11 10 11 10 11 10 11 10 11 10 11	. —	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SE		_	Patent Application (P1	O-152)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:			0)			

DETAILED ACTION

1. This is communication filed on "February 13, 2002". Claims 1-14 are pending in this application.

Information Disclosure Statement

2. An initialed and dated copy of Applicant's IDS form 1449 is attached to the instant Office action.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- Claims 1-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over
 Robert Cordery et al (U.S. Patent No: 6, 157,919) hereinafter referenced as
 Cordery in view of Cecil Motley (U.S. Patent No. 2002/0136224) hereinafter
 referred to as Motley.

Art Unit: 3639

As Per Claim 1:

Cordery discloses a postage meter machine comprising, [{col.3, lines 9-10}, open metering system], a processing unit, [{fig 2, 22}, processor], but lacks

a data transmission device connected to said processing unit and adapted for transmitting information between said processing unit and a remote data center via a telecommunication network;

said data transmission device including a connection arrangement for making a communication connection to a telecommunication line of said telecommunication network;

a monitoring device connected to said processing unit for monitoring a usage status of said telecommunication line and for providing usage status information to said processing unit,

and said processing unit controlling a connection setup to said telecommunication network by said data transmission device dependent on said usage status information,

Motley discloses a data transmission device connected to said processing unit and adapted for transmitting information between said processing unit and a remote data center via a telecommunication network, [{abstract}, telecommunication device compresses data via network];

said data transmission device including a connection arrangement for making a communication connection to a telecommunication line of said telecommunication network[{abstract}, telecommunication device compresses data via network];

a monitoring device connected to said processing unit for monitoring a usage status of said telecommunication line and for providing usage status information to said Application/Control Number: 10/074,838

Art Unit: 3639

processing unit, [{fig 1, 31}, remote manger, see details within] and [{0026}, telephone network interconnection system];

and said processing unit controlling a connection setup to said telecommunication network by said data transmission device dependent on said usage status information, [{0026}, telephone network interconnection system];

Therefore, it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to modify Cordery's method and system in conjunction with Motley's system and method to emulate an invention that deals with a postage meter machine in junction with telecommunication network to formulate a device that is able to communicate with a postage metering device through telecommunication, which additionally verifies the products data and its origin.

As Per Claim 2:

Cordery discloses a postage meter machine comprising, [{col.3, lines 9-10}, open metering system] **but lacks** wherein usage of said telecommunication line is shared by said data transmission device and a further telecommunication device, and wherein said processing unit suppresses setup of said connection by said data transmission device if said usage status information indicates that said telecommunication line is in use by said further telecommunication device.

Motley discloses usage of said telecommunication line is shared by said data transmission device and a further telecommunication device, and wherein said processing unit suppresses setup of said connection by said data transmission device if said usage status information indicates that said telecommunication line is in use by said further

telecommunication device, [{0024}, a telecommunication system is presented with first telecommunication device connected to a network].

Therefore, it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to modify Cordery's method and system in conjunction with Motley's system and method to emulate an invention that deals with a postage meter machine in junction with telecommunication network to formulate a device that is able to communicate with a postage metering device through telecommunication, which additionally verifies the products data and its origin.

As Per Claim 3:

Cordery discloses a postage meter machine comprising, [{col.3, lines 9-10}, open metering system] **but lacks** said usage status information includes a suppression signal generated by said monitoring device.

Motley discloses said usage status information includes a suppression signal generated by said monitoring device, [{0025}, telecommunication apparatus and methods of programming digital signal processors].

As Per Claim 4:

Cordery discloses a postage meter machine comprising, [{col.3, lines 9-10}, open metering system] but lacks wherein usage of said telecommunication line is shared by said data transmission device and a further telecommunication device, and wherein said monitoring device identifies an attempt at a connection setup via said telecommunication line by said further telecommunication device, and includes information identifying said attempt in said usage status information.

Motley discloses wherein usage of said telecommunication line is shared by said data transmission device and a further telecommunication device, and wherein said monitoring device identifies an attempt at a connection setup via said telecommunication line by said further telecommunication device, and includes information identifying said attempt in said usage status information, [{0026}, telecommunication is coupled toa public switched telephone network].

Art Unit: 3639

As Per Claim 5:

Cordery discloses a postage meter machine comprising, [{col.3, lines 9-10}, open metering system] **but lacks** wherein said monitoring device generates an interrupt signal in said usage status information upon identification of said attempt, and wherein said processing unit, upon receiving said interrupt signal, causes said data transmission device to interrupt use of said telecommunication line.

Motley discloses wherein said monitoring device generates an interrupt signal in said usage status information upon identification of said attempt, and wherein said processing unit, upon receiving said interrupt signal, causes said data transmission device to interrupt use of said telecommunication line, [{fig 3, 81}, signaling detector and {fig 3,95}, processing and {fig 2, 35}, telecommunication apparatus].

Therefore, it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to modify Cordery's method and system in conjunction with Motley's system and method to emulate an invention that deals with a postage meter machine in junction with telecommunication network to formulate a device that is able to communicate with a postage metering device through telecommunication, which additionally verifies the products data and its origin.

As Per Claim 6:

Cordery discloses a postage meter machine comprising, [{col.3, lines 9-10}, open metering system] but lacks wherein said interrupt signal is a first interrupt signal, and wherein said monitoring device, upon receiving a control signal from said processing unit to interrupt said use of said telecommunication line by said data transmission device,

Application/Control Number: 10/074,838

Art Unit: 3639

generates a second interrupt signal and transmits said second interrupt signal to said data center via said telecommunication line before interrupting said connection.

Motley discloses wherein said interrupt signal is a first interrupt signal, and wherein said monitoring device, upon receiving a control signal from said processing unit to interrupt said use of said telecommunication line by said data transmission device, generates a second interrupt signal and transmits said second interrupt signal to said data center via said telecommunication line before interrupting said connection, [{0024}, first telecommunication device connected to a network and second telecommunication device decompresses data].

Therefore, it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to modify Cordery's method and system in conjunction with Motley's system and method to emulate an invention that deals with a postage meter machine in junction with telecommunication network to formulate a device that is able to communicate with a postage metering device through telecommunication, which additionally verifies the products data and its origin.

As Per Claim 7:

Cordery discloses a postage meter machine comprising, [{col.3, lines 9-10}, open metering system] a data center located remote from said postage meter machine; **but**lacks a data transmission device connected to said processing unit and adapted for transmitting information between said processing unit and said data center via a telecommunication network; said data transmission device including a connection arrangement for making a communication connection to a telecommunication line of said

Application/Control Number: 10/074,838

Art Unit: 3639

telecommunication network; a monitoring device connected to said processing unit for monitoring a usage status of said telecommunication line and for providing usage status information to said processing unit; and said processing unit controlling a connection setup to said telecommunication network by said data transmission device dependent on said usage status information.

Motley discloses a data center located remote from said postage meter machine; a data transmission device connected to said processing unit and adapted for transmitting information between said processing unit and said data center via a telecommunication network, [{0060}, transmitted to destination telecommunication];

said data transmission device including a connection arrangement for making a communication connection to a telecommunication line of said telecommunication network, [{0024}, [{0024}, a telecommunication system is presented with first telecommunication device connected to a network].

a monitoring device connected to said processing unit for monitoring a usage status of said telecommunication line and for providing usage status information to said processing unit, [{0025}, telecommunication apparatus and methods of programming digital signal processors].

and said processing unit controlling a connection setup to said telecommunication network by said data transmission device dependent on said usage status information, [{fig 3a}, processing].

Therefore, it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to modify Cordery's method and system in conjunction with Motley's system and method to emulate an invention that deals with a postage meter

machine in junction with telecommunication network to formulate a device that is able to communicate with a postage metering device through telecommunication, which additionally verifies the products data and its origin.

As Per Claim 8:

Cordery discloses a postage meter machine comprising, [{col.3, lines 9-10}, open metering system] but lacks wherein said telecommunication line is shared by said data transmission device and a further telecommunication device, and wherein said monitoring device identifies an attempt at a connection setup via said telecommunication line by said further telecommunication device and includes a first interrupt signal in said usage status information supplied to said processing unit, and wherein said processing unit, upon receiving said first interrupt signal, supplies a control signal to said data transmission device instructing said data transmission device to interrupt said connection, and wherein said data transmission device, upon receipt of said control signal, generates a second interrupt signal and transmits said second interrupt signal to said data center via said telecommunication line before interrupting said connection.

Motley discloses wherein said telecommunication line is shared by said data transmission device and a further telecommunication device, and wherein said monitoring device identifies an attempt at a connection setup via said telecommunication line by said further telecommunication device and includes a first interrupt signal in said usage status information supplied to said processing unit, and wherein said processing unit, upon receiving said first interrupt signal, supplies a control signal to said data transmission device instructing said data transmission device to interrupt said connection,

and wherein said data transmission device, upon receipt of said control signal, generates a second interrupt signal and transmits said second interrupt signal to said data center via said telecommunication line before interrupting said connection, [{0024}, }, first telecommunication device connected to a network and second telecommunication device decompresses data].

Therefore, it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to modify Cordery's method and system in conjunction with Motley's system and method to emulate an invention that deals with a postage meter machine in junction with telecommunication network to formulate a device that is able to communicate with a postage metering device through telecommunication, which additionally verifies the products data and its origin.

As Per Claim 9:

Cordery discloses a postage meter machine comprising, [{col.3, lines 9-10}, open metering system] but lacks A method for controlling communication between a postage meter machine and a remote data center via a communication network having a telecommunication line shared by the postage meter machine and a further telecommunication device, said method comprising the steps of: providing a postage meter machine with a processing unit and a data transmission device connected to said processing unit, and transmitting information between said processing unit and a remote data center via a telecommunication network; including in said data transmission device a connection arrangement for making a communication connection to a telecommunication line of said telecommunication network; with a monitoring device connected to said

processing unit, monitoring a usage status of said telecommunication line and providing usage status information to said processing unit; and controlling, via said processing unit, a connection setup to said telecommunication network by said data transmission device dependent on said usage status information.

Motley discloses A method for controlling communication between a postage meter machine and a remote data center via a communication network having a telecommunication line shared by the postage meter machine and a further telecommunication device, said method comprising the steps of:

providing a postage meter machine with a processing unit and a data transmission device connected to said processing unit, and transmitting information between said processing unit and a remote data center via a telecommunication network, [{0026}, telecommunication apparatus coupled to a public swithched telephone network];

including in said data transmission device a connection arrangement for making a communication connection to a telecommunication line of said telecommunication network, [{0026}, telecommunication apparatus coupled to a public swithched telephone network];

with a monitoring device connected to said processing unit, monitoring a usage status of said telecommunication line and providing usage status information to said processing unit, [{0025}, telecommunication apparatus and methods of programming digital signal processors].;

and controlling, via said processing unit, a connection setup to said telecommunication network by said data transmission device dependent on said usage status information, [{fig 3b, 147}, processing].

Therefore, it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to modify Cordery's method and system in conjunction with Motley's system and method to emulate an invention that deals with a postage meter machine in junction with telecommunication network to formulate a device that is able to communicate with a postage metering device through telecommunication, which additionally verifies the products data and its origin.

As Per Claim 10:

Cordery discloses a postage meter machine comprising, [{col.3, lines 9-10}, open metering system] **but lacks** wherein usage of said telecommunication line is shared by said data transmission device and a further telecommunication device, and, via said processing unit, suppressing setup of said connection by said data transmission device if said usage status information indicates that said telecommunication line is in use by said further telecommunication device,

Motley discloses wherein usage of said telecommunication line is shared by said data transmission device and a further telecommunication device, and, via said processing unit, suppressing setup of said connection by said data transmission device if said usage status information indicates that said telecommunication line is in use by said further telecommunication device, [{0024}, a telecommunication system is presented with first telecommunication device connected to a network].

Therefore, it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to modify Cordery's method and system in conjunction with Motley's system and method to emulate an invention that deals with a postage meter machine in junction with telecommunication network to formulate a device that is able to communicate with a postage metering device through telecommunication, which additionally verifies the products data and its origin.

As Per Claim 11:

Cordery discloses a postage meter machine comprising, [{col.3, lines 9-10}, open metering system] but lacks a method comprising including a suppression signal generated by said monitoring device in said usage status information.

Motley discloses a suppression signal generated by said monitoring device in said usage status information, [{0025}, telecommunication apparatus and methods of programming digital signal processors].

Art Unit: 3639

As Per Claim 12:

Cordery discloses a postage meter machine comprising, [{col.3, lines 9-10}, open metering system] but lacks a method as wherein usage of said telecommunication line is shared by said data transmission device and a further telecommunication device, and comprising identifying, via said monitoring device, an attempt at a connection setup via said telecommunication line by said further telecommunication device, and including information identifying said attempt in said usage status information.

Motley discloses a method as wherein usage of said telecommunication line is shared by said data transmission device and a further telecommunication device, and comprising identifying, via said monitoring device, an attempt at a connection setup via said telecommunication line by said further telecommunication device, and including information identifying said attempt in said usage status information, [{0024}, a telecommunication system is presented with first telecommunication device connected to a network].

As Per Claim 13:

Cordery discloses a postage meter machine comprising, [{col.3, lines 9-10}, open metering system] **but lacks** A method comprising including an interrupt signal in said usage status information upon identification of said attempt, and said processing unit, upon receiving said interrupt signal, causing said data transmission device to interrupt use of said telecommunication line.

Motley discloses an interrupt signal in said usage status information upon identification of said attempt, and said processing unit, upon receiving said interrupt signal, causing said data transmission device to interrupt use of said telecommunication line, [{0035}, prepares the data located for transmission on channel optimization].

Therefore, it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to modify Cordery's method and system in conjunction with Motley's system and method to emulate an invention that deals with a postage meter machine in junction with telecommunication network to formulate a device that is able to communicate with a postage metering device through telecommunication, which additionally verifies the products data and its origin.

As Per Claim 14:

Cordery discloses a postage meter machine comprising, [{col.3, lines 9-10}, open metering system] but lacks A method wherein said interrupt signal is a first interrupt signal, and comprising generating a second interrupt signal in said monitoring device, upon receiving a control signal from said processing unit to interrupt said use of said telecommunication line by said data transmission device, and transmitting said second

interrupt signal to said data center via said telecommunication line before interrupting said connection.

Motley discloses interrupt signal is a first interrupt signal, and comprising generating a second interrupt signal in said monitoring device, upon receiving a control signal from said processing unit to interrupt said use of said telecommunication line by said data transmission device, and transmitting said second interrupt signal to said data center via said telecommunication line before interrupting said connection, [{0038}, destination network manger extracts the signaling from channel parament and routes signaling and [{0024}, a telecommunication system is presented with first telecommunication device connected to a network].

Therefore, it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to modify Cordery's method and system in conjunction with Motley's system and method to emulate an invention that deals with a postage meter machine in junction with telecommunication network to formulate a device that is able to communicate with a postage metering device through telecommunication, which additionally verifies the products data and its origin.

Conclusion

Any concerns in regard to this communication, the examiner Jon Bass can be reached at (571) 272-6905 between the hours of 9-6pm Monday through Friday. The fax number for the establishment where the application is being process is (571) 273-8300.

If an attempt to reach the examiner is unsuccessful for any reason, the examiner's immediate supervisor, John Hayes can be reached at (571) 272-6708.

Art Unit: 3639

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-271-9197 (toll free).

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

C/O Technology Center 3600

Washington, D.C. 20231

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER